

fk



ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

THE CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY, )  
 )  
 Petitioner, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 THE HONORABLE THAD )  
 BALKMAN, CHIEF JUDGE, )  
 CLEVELAND COUNTY, )  
 THE HONORABLE STEVEN STICE, )  
 SPECIAL DISTRICT JUDGE, )  
 CLEVELAND COUNTY, and )  
 THE HONORABLE )  
 JACK MCCURDY, CHIEF JUDGE, )  
 CANADIAN COUNTY, )  
 )  
 Respondents. )

No. 118,950

FILED  
 SUPREME COURT  
 STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
 JUL 30 2020  
 JOHN D. HADDEN  
 CLERK

Rec'd (date)	7/31/20
Posted	JS
Mailed	JS
Distrib	JS
Publish	yes

ORDER


Before the Court are Petitioner's (1) Motion to Stay All Proceedings, (2) Motion for Emergency Order to Stay all Proceedings, (3) Second Motion for Emergency Order to Stay All Proceedings and Motion to Promptly Appear Before a Referee, and (4) Addendum to Second Motion for Emergency Order relating to proceedings before the District Court, Cleveland County and the District Court, Canadian County, and enforcement of Administrative Orders AO-2020-1 and AO-2020-3 issued by the district courts on April 1, 2020 and April 10, 2020, respectively.

The Court treats Petitioner's emergency motion to stay all proceedings as a request for stay of the enforcement of AO-2020-1 and AO-2020-3 pending disposition of this Cause. See Oklahoma Supreme Court Rule 1.15. Petitioner's

request for stay of enforcement of said orders is hereby granted. The Respondent District Court Judges, or other assigned judges, are hereby prohibited from enforcing AO-2020-1 and AO-2020-3 pending this Court's disposition of this Cause. In addition, Petitioner's request for emergency stay of the show cause hearing scheduled for July 31, 2020, in Cleveland County and the show cause hearing scheduled for August 4, 2020, in Canadian County are granted in conformance with the foregoing.

This order shall not be construed as the Court's assumption of original jurisdiction on the merits of the issues presented to the Court, but rather this Order pertains solely to the temporary stay of the enforcement of AO-2020-1 and AO-2020-3 pending further disposition by this Court, including resolution of jurisdictional issues, i.e., whether Petitioner's request for extraordinary relief is within this Court's original civil jurisdiction to adjudicate. Further, nothing in this Order precludes Respondents from directing that a detainee be brought before the district court to be arraigned pursuant to 22 O.S. 2011, § 453.

DONE BY THE ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT THIS 30TH DAY OF  
JULY, 2020.

  
CHIEF JUSTICE