IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

| THE GEO GROUP, Inc. |) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| |) |
| Plaintiff, |) |
| |) |
| V. |) |
| |) |
| HINTON ECONOMIC |) |
| DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, a |) |
| Public Trust, and THE TOWN OF |) |
| HINTON, OKLAHOMA, |) |
| |) |
| Defendants. |) |

Case No. <u>CIV-23-1014-G</u>

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, The GEO Group, Inc., (hereinafter "GEO Group") by and through counsel of record, and hereby seeks a Declaratory Judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201 *et seq.*, determining the rights and obligations of the parties under certain agreements as hereinafter fully set forth.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. GEO Group is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida, with its principle place of business in the State of Florida.

2. The Defendant, Hinton Economic Development Authority is a public trust created pursuant to a Trust Indenture dated June 29, 1987. The Hinton Economic Development Authority (hereinafter "HEDA") is formed and operated under the laws of the State of Oklahoma with its principle situs of operations within

Case 5:23-cv-01014-G Document 1 Filed 11/08/23 Page 2 of 6

the State of Oklahoma. The beneficiary of the HEDA public trust is the Town of Hinton, Oklahoma (hereinafter "Hinton.").

3. Hinton is a municipality formed and existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma. Hinton is incorporated entirely within the State of Oklahoma.

4. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332, because the amount in controversy exceeds Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs and the action is between citizens of different states.

5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) and/or 1391(b)(2).

<u>FACTS</u>

6. HEDA is the owner of certain land and the Great Plains Correctional Facility located in Caddo County, Oklahoma (hereinafter known as the "Facility").

7. On December 31, 1999, HEDA, with the Town of Hinton as its beneficiary, entered into a Lease Agreement with Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. Under the terms of that Lease Agreement, Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. leased the Facility from HEDA and agreed to pay an annual rental in arrears as set forth under the terms of the Lease Agreement.

8. GEO Group is the successor in interest to Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc., and is the present holder of all rights granted to Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc., under the terms of the Lease Agreement.

9. Section 9.01 of the Lease Agreement provides that the Lessee (now GEO Group) may "without restriction ... sublease the Leased Premises, or any part thereof,

Case 5:23-cv-01014-G Document 1 Filed 11/08/23 Page 3 of 6

to any Person, all without the necessity of giving notice to, or obtain the consent of, [HEDA]."

10. GEO Group has entered into an agreement with the Oklahoma Department of Corrections to allow the Oklahoma Department of Corrections to sublease the Facility from GEO Group as allowed under the terms of the Lease Agreement between HEDA and GEO Group.

11. GEO Group has timely paid all rents owed to HEDA under the terms of the Lease Agreement. GEO Group has fulfilled all of its obligations to HEDA under the terms of the Lease Agreement.

12. Effective March 1, 2000, HEDA and Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. entered into an "Intergovernmental and Private Prison Contractor Agreement" (hereinafter "IPPCA"). Under the terms of the IPPCA, Hinton established the Facility as an adult medium security correctional facility to house inmates. Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. was originally designated the private prison contractor to manage, maintain, and operate the Facility.

13. Under the terms of §4.1 of the IPPCA, Hinton and HEDA agreed to pay Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. a base management fee in the amount set forth in the IPPCA. GEO Group is the successor in interest to Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. and has acquired all right, title and interest of Cornell Corrections of Oklahoma, Inc. under the terms of the IPPCA.

Case 5:23-cv-01014-G Document 1 Filed 11/08/23 Page 4 of 6

14. The base management fee was premised upon the existence of a contract for housing of inmates with a "Jurisdiction" such as the Oklahoma Department of Corrections. The IPPCA, §4.1, states that this base management fee shall be an amount equal to the Inmate Contract's per diem rate multiplied by the number of days inmates are housed at the Facility "less the amounts reserved to [HEDA]" under §4.3 of the IPPCA.

15. HEDA reserved out of the base management fee that was to be paid to GEO Group the sum of \$1.00 per day for each inmate housed in the Facility. Under the terms of the IPPCA, if the inmate contract per diem with the jurisdiction (such as the Oklahoma Department of Corrections), was raised above a certain amount, then the amount reserved by Hinton and/or HEDA would be increased up to a maximum reservation of \$1.25 per inmate day.

16. Any obligation of GEO Group to pay Hinton and/or HEDA the amounts allegedly reserved under §4.3 of the IPPCA was dependent upon the existence of an inmate housing contract with the Oklahoma Department of Corrections or some other governmental entity.

17. As allowed by the Lease Agreement existing between GEO Group and HEDA, GEO Group subleased Facility directly to the Oklahoma Department of Corrections. In 2023, the Oklahoma Department of Corrections began housing inmates at the Facility under the direct supervision and control of the Oklahoma Department of Corrections. GEO Group does not staff the Facility and does not serve

Case 5:23-cv-01014-G Document 1 Filed 11/08/23 Page 5 of 6

as a private prison contractor for the supervision of inmates at the Facility. Because there is no inmate contract existing between GEO Group, Hinton and/or HEDA and the Oklahoma Department of Corrections for the supervision and housing of inmates, there is no base management fee available to GEO Group under the IPPCA. Thus, HEDA and Hinton are not entitled to reserve any payments out of a base management fee. There simply is no "base management fee" under the current circumstances.

18. On May 18, 2023, HEDA and/or Hinton made demand upon GEO Group asserting that GEO Group owed HEDA a daily per diem rate of \$1.25 per inmate housed at the Facility. GEO Group responded, advising HEDA and Hinton that no such daily per diem rate is owed to HEDA and/or Hinton because GEO Group has subleased the Facility to the Oklahoma Department of Corrections and does not staff or operate the Facility. Because there is no Inmate Contract (as that term is defined in the IPCCA) between the Oklahoma Department of Corrections and GEO Group or any of the Defendants and no corresponding "base management fee," GEO Group has no obligation to make any payments of a per diem rate to the Defendants under the clear terms of the IPPCA. GEO Group's only obligation is to continue to pay in arrears to HEDA the \$100,000 annual rent as set forth in the Lease Agreement.

19. HEDA and/or Hinton have continued to invoice GEO Group for a per diem rate of \$1.25 per prisoner housed at the Facility. The parties have failed to reach a resolution of this dispute. There is an ongoing and justiciable dispute between GEO Group and the Defendants regarding the financial obligations of GEO Group, if any, to

the Defendants under the terms of the IPPCA. GEO Group has no other adequate remedy by which this controversy may be resolved other than that which is requested by this Complaint for Declaratory Judgment.

REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, GEO Group seeks a Declaratory Judgment that it has no obligation to make any payments to the Defendants under the terms of the IPPCA, and that GEO Group's obligations to the Defendants are limited to making rental payments that are owed under the existing Lease Agreement. GEO Group respectfully prays this Court enter judgment in its favor and against the Defendants as set forth above, and provide such other and further relief, as this Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Randall J. Wood Randall J. Wood, OBA #10531 Robert S. Lafferrandre, OBA # 11897 PIERCE COUCH HENDRICKSON BAYSINGER & GREEN, L.L.P. 1109 N. Francis Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73106 Telephone: (405) 235-1611 Facsimile: (405) 235-2904 rwood@piercecouch.com rlafferrandre@piercecouch.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, The GEO Group, Inc.

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

Case 5:23-cv-01014-G Document 1-1 Filed 11/08/23 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS THE GEO GROUP, Inc. | | | | DEFENDANTS HINTON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, a Public Trust, and THE TOWN OF HINTON, OKLAHOMA | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Palm Beach Co., FL (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Randall J. Wood, OBA 10531 Pierce Couch Hendrickson Baysinger & Green, LLP 1109 N. Francis Ave., Oklahoma City, OK 73106 405.235.1611 | | | | Attorneys (If Known) Kimberlee T. Spady, 114 E. Main St., Hinton, OK 73047 | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in O | ne Box Only) | | TIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPA | AL PARTIES (| | | |
| □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | G 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | | (For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State | | Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T | | r Defenda PTF I 4 | nt) DEF X 4 |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ▲ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi | ip of Parties in Item III) | | en of Another State | | Incorporated <i>and</i> P of Business In A Foreign Nation | | ⊠ 5 □ 6 | □ 5 □ 6 |
| | | | | reign Country | | _ | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | ly) RTS | F | ORFEITURE/PENALTY | | t here for: Nature o NKRUPTCY | f Suit Code De OTHER | | |
| 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education | PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage A63 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 557 Prison Condition | X = 62 TY = 71 71 71 72 75 18 75 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR (0 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions | 422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPEJ 820 Copy 830 Pater 835 Pater 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSIE 865 RSI 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-26 U | eal 28 USC 158 ddrawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS yrights nt nt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark JSECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) (C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI | □ 375 False Cla □ 376 Qui Tam 3729(a)) □ 400 State Rea □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks ar □ 450 Commer □ 450 Commer □ 460 Deportat □ 470 Racketee Corrupt (□ 480 Consumt □ 490 Cable/Sa □ 850 Securitie Exchang □ 890 Other Sta □ 893 Environm □ 895 Freedom Act □ 899 Adminis | aims Act (31 USC) apportionm d Banking ce ion rr Influenc Organizati er Credit tr TV s/Commoo ge atutory Act aral Acts of Inform on trative Pro ew or App Decision tionality of | ed and ons dities/ tions ters ation cedure eeal of |
| V ODICIN (N | | 555 Trisol Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement | | | | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) [X] 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Proceeding 5 Transferred from Reopened 5 Transferred from Reopened 5 Transferred from Appellate Court 5 Transferred from Appellate Court 5 Transferred from Content 1 State Court | | | | | | | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | DN Declaratory Judg Brief description of ca | ment Action - 28 US | SC § 22 | Do not cite jurisdictional stat 201 et. seq; diversity | tutes unless di 28 USC § | iversity): 1332 | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | UNDER RULE 2 | IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P. | [D | EMAND \$ | | CHECK YES only: URY DEMAND: | if demanded in D Yes | complair □No | nt: |
| VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCKE | ET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE 11.8.2023 | | SIGNATURE OF ATT | ORNEY (| of Record s/ Randa | III J. Wood | t | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM | IOUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | GE | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.